<ul> <li>Matthew N. George<sup>†</sup></li> <li>Department of Biology, University of Washington, Box 351800, Seattle, Washington 1800, USA</li> <li><sup>†</sup>Author for correspondence: <u>mngeorge@u.washington.edu</u></li> <li>Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Mutthew N. George</li> <li>Department of Biology, University of Washington, Box 351800, Seattle, Washington</li> <li>1800, USA</li> <li>*Author for correspondence: <u>mngeorge@u.washington.edu</u></li> <li>Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Department of Biology, University of Washington, Box 351800, Seattle, Washington 1800, USA</li> <li><sup>†</sup>Author for correspondence: <u>mngeorge@u.washington.edu</u></li> <li>Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>6 1800, USA</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Author for correspondence: <u>mngeorge@u.washington.edu</u></li> <li>9</li> <li>10 Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	98195-
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> Author for correspondence: <u>mngeorge@u.washington.edu</u></li> <li><sup>9</sup> Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li><sup>11</sup> Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li><sup>*</sup>Author for correspondence: <u>mngeorge@u.washington.edu</u></li> <li>Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10 Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>10 Keywords: climate change, biomechanics, mussel foot protein, byssal threads, disloc</li> <li>11</li> <li>12 Running header: mussel foot proteomics</li> </ul>	
11     12     Running header: mussel foot proteomics	dgement
12 Running header: mussel foot proteomics	
13	
14 Abstract	
15 16 Eventiment is surrently in progress Will have date to analyze on Monday, 12/2	
10 Experiment is currently in progress. will have data to analyze on worlday, 12/2.	
1/	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	

- 43 1. Introduction
- 44

45 Mussels (Mytilus sp.) play a key role in the formation of biological communities in the 46 rocky intertidal zone. Aggregating into groups, mussels form beds which prevent the erosion of 47 coastlines (Meadows et al., 1998), provide habitat for smaller organisms (Suchanek, 1979), and 48 improve water quality through filter feeding (Lindhl et al., 2005). Mussels are also an 49 aquaculture species, supporting a worldwide industry worth more than \$1.5 billion annually 50 (FAO, 2011). However, despite their environmental and commercial significance, little is known 51 about how the effects of climate change, such as the rapid uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> into the oceans 52 (ocean acidification), will impact the physiological ecology of these organisms.

53 What makes mussels unique and an amenable aquaculture species is their ability to 54 attach to rocks and aquaculture lines. Mussels accomplish this by anchoring themselves to 55 surfaces using byssal threads laced with a natural adhesive. Synthesized in seawater, curing 56 within minutes, and able to selfheal after fatigue, byssal threads are a biomechanical marvel 57 which have inspired the synthesis of several novel synthetic glues (Lee et al., 2011).

58 Despite the importance of byssal threads, to date, studies investigating the effect of 59 ocean acidification (OA) on mussels have mainly been concerned with the calcification rate of 50 shell material (Gazeau et al., 2007) and larval development (Kurihara, 2008). In fact, O'Donnell 61 et al. (2013), published this year, is currently the only study to investigate the sensitivity of

62 byssal thread synthesis to environmental factors which are changing as a result of ocean

acidification. O'Donnell et al. (2013) found that byssal threads produced under elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>
 conditions were significantly weaker than those produced under ambient condition; a result,

65 which the authors applied to an attachment model from Bell and Gosline (1996), predicts an 66 increase in dislodgment events of up to 40% as a result of ocean acidication.

A mechanistic explanation for thread weakening as a result of ocean acidification has yet to be determined. One possibility is that the threads themselves become less stiff under acidified conditions. Weakening of the thread itself, however, has only been seen in lab experiments were naturally grown threads are exposed to acidic buffer solutions (Harrington et al., 2007) and was not evident in threads produced in water with elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> (O'Donnell et al., 2013). A more plausible explanation is that byssal thread attachment at the thread-

73 substrate interface is impacted by water chemistry in some way.

74 Byssal threads attach to substrates using an adhesive made up of ten identified 75 proteins, several of which are unique to foot tissue (Hwang et al., 2011). Of the ten, several proteins contain high concentrations of DOPA, a molecule known for its pH dependent adhesive 76 77 properties. As a result, studies investigating byssal thread adhesion up to this point have taken 78 a single protein focus, often isolating a protein target and allowing it to bind to a target surface 79 under certain conditions (Lee et al., 2006). What this approach ignores is the potential shift in 80 protein expression that can come with environmental changes. To take this into account, I 81 exposed mussels to two pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments and harvested protein samples from foot tissue after 82 a two week acclimation period. Using proteomic techniques I was then able to look for shifts in 83 the quantity and presence of the proteins involved in adhesion.

84

85

## 2. Materials and Methods 86

87

## 88 2.1. Organism care and seawater manipulation

89 Mussels (Mytilus trossolus) were collected from field sites near Alki Beach, Seattle, WA, 90 USA (+47.58, -122.40) and brought into a recirculating seawater laboratory at the University of 91 Washington, Seattle, WA. Ten individuals were split between two 50 gallon seawater tanks set 92 to  $pCO_2$  concentration reflecting ambient (~700 µatm) and elevated (~1800 µatm) conditions. 93 Desired  $CO_2$  concentrations were obtained by bubbling a mixture of ambient air and  $CO_2$  into 94 each tank at a constant rate (1.5 L/min air : 1.5 ml/min CO<sub>2</sub>), allowing the water volume to 95 equilibrate, and measuring accuracy using a pH probe every few days (see Table 1). Samples of 96 food tissue were harvested from each mussel and immediately frozen at -80°C. Two individuals 97 were sampled before the experiment began to establish a baseline while the rest of the 98 mussels were sampled after remaining in the seawater treatments for two weeks. 99

100 2.2. Tissue homogenization

101 The ZOOM<sup>®</sup> IPGRunner<sup>™</sup> combo kit was used to analyze protein samples. 50 mg of foot 102 tissue was thawed and homogenized using a plastic pestle and 950  $\mu$ l of a lysis buffer consisting 103 of 1.1X ZOOM<sup>®</sup> 2D solubilizer 1 solution (ZS10001), 1M Tris Base, 100X protease inhibitor 104 cocktail (Roche catolg no. 1873580), 2M DTT, and DI water as outlined by the ZOOM® 105 IPGRunner<sup>™</sup> protocol. Lysate was incubated on a rotary shaker for 15 minutes at room 106 temperature after which 5  $\mu$ l of N,N-Dimethylacrylamide (DMA) was added to each sample and 107 allowed to alkylanate the sample for 30 minutes at room temperature. 10  $\mu$ l of 2M DTT was 108 then added to each sample to quench the reaction and the entire mixture was centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4 °C. The supernantant of each sample was then removed and 109 110 frozen overnight at -80 °C.

- 111
- 112 2.3. Isoelectric Focusing

113 Lysate was thawed and diluted with rehydration buffer containing 1.1X ZOOM<sup>®</sup> 2D 114 protein solubilizer 1, 2M DTT, 1.0% pH 3-10 ZOOM® Carrier Ampholytes (ZM0021), 0.01% 115 Bromophenol blue, and DI water as outlined by the ZOOM<sup>®</sup> IPGRunner<sup>™</sup> protocol. The final 116 concentration of protein of each sample was 80  $\mu$ g/ml. 140  $\mu$ l of the rehydration buffer 117 mixture was then added to independent wells of a ZOOM<sup>®</sup> IPGRunner<sup>™</sup> cassette (ZM0003). 118 Linear pH 3-10 ZOOM<sup>®</sup> strips were placed in each well allowed to rehydrate with the 119 rehydration buffer for 1 hour. After rehydration isoloectric focusing was preformed on all wells 120 simultaneously by passing current through the wells and stepping up voltage sequentially from 121 200V to 2000 V according to protocol B in the ZOOM<sup>®</sup> IPGRunner<sup>™</sup> protocol. Strips were then 122 removed from wells and frozen overnight at -80 °C.

- 123
- 124 2.4 Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis

125 ZOOM<sup>®</sup> strips were loaded into a NuPAGE<sup>®</sup> Novex 4-12% Bis-Tris ZOOM<sup>®</sup> gel and 126 allowed to thaw for 15 minutes in an equilibration buffer containing 4X NuPAGE<sup>®</sup> LDS sample 127 buffer and NuPAGE® sample reducing agent at concentrations described in the ZOOM®

128 IPGRunner<sup>™</sup> protocol. SDS-PAGE was then preformed at 200V for 50 minutes. Gels were
 129 stained with a SilverQuest<sup>®</sup> silver staining kit.

- 130
- 131 2.5 Gel Image analysis

132 Pictures of 2D gels were analyzed with Delta2D image software (version 3.6; Decodon,

Greifswald, Germany) and the grayscale value of each protein spot analyzed as an indication ofboth protein presence and prevalence (Berth et al., 2007).

135

136 3. Results

137 Chemical conditions in both seawater treatments were fairly stable throughout the 138 experiment (Table 1). The control treatment had a mean pCO<sub>2</sub> of 853  $\pm$  58 µatm, which is 139 significantly higher than open ocean conditions of ~400 µatm (Doney et al., 2009), despite my 140 effort to equilibrate the tank with ambient air (400 ppm  $CO_2$ ). However, 800 µatm of  $CO_2$  is not 141 necessarily out of the range of what these organisms experience in the Salish Sea due to 142 freshwater inputs and stagnation which result in  $pCO_2$  fluctuations ranging from 350 to 1200 143  $\mu$ atm (Carrington et al., unpublished data). The experimental treatment had a mean of 1834  $\pm$ 144 277  $\mu$ atm which was more significantly different than the control treatment (ttest, p < 0.001). 145 This treatment level is fairly extreme when compared with open ocean levels today and are 146 consistent with IPCC predictions for the open ocean in 150-200 years (IPCC 2013). 147 148 No results yet from protein analysis. 149 150 4. Discussion 151 152 Nothing to discuss as of yet. I will be finished with protocol on Monday, 12/2. 153 154 5. Literature cited 155 156 Bell, E. & Gosline, J. Mechanical design of mussel byssus: Material yield enhances attachment 157 strength. J. Exp. Biol. 199, 1005 1017 (1996). 158 159 Berth, M., Moser, F. M., Kolbe, M. and Bernhardt, J. (2007). The state of the art in the analysis 160 of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis images. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 76, 1223-161 1243. 162 163 Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., & Kleypas, J. A. (2009). Ocean acidification: the other CO2 164 problem. Marine Science, 1. 165 166 FAO Yearbook: Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics, 2009 (Statistics and Information Service of 167 the Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, 2011). 168

169 170 171	Gazeau et al., 2007. Impact of elevated CO <sub>2</sub> on shellfish calcification. <i>Geo Res Letters</i> , Vol.34, L07603.
172 173 174 175	Harrington, M.J., Waite, J.H., 2007. Holdfast heroics: comparing the molecular and mechanical properties of Mytilus californianus byssal threads. Journal of Experimental Biology 210, 4307–4318.
176 177 178 179	Hwang, D.S., Zeng, H., Masic, A., Harrington, M.J., Israelachvili, J.N., Waite, J.H., 2010. Protein- and metal-dependent interactions of a prominent protein in mussel adhesive plaques. Journal of Biological Chemistry 285, 25850–25858.
180 181 182	Kurihara, 2008. Effects of CO <sub>2</sub> -driven ocean acidification on the early developmental stages of invertebrates. <i>Mar Ecol Prog Ser.</i> , Vol. 373: 275–284, 2008.
183 184 185	Lee, H., Scherer, N.F., Messersmith, P.B., 2006. Single-molecule mechanics of mussel adhesion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103, 12999–13003.
186 187 188	Lee B. P., Messersmith P. B., Israelachvili J. N. & Waite J. H., 2011. Mussel-inspired adhesives and coatings. Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 41, 99–132.
189 190 191	Lindahl et al., 2005. Improving Marine Water Quality by Mussel Farming: A Profitable Solution for Swedish Society. <i>AMBIO, Vol.</i> 34 (2), 131-138.
192 193 194 195	Meadows et al., 1998. Mussels and mussel beds ( <i>Mytilus edulis</i> ) as stabilizers of sedimentary environments in the intertidal zone. <i>Geol. Society, London, Special Publications</i> , Vol.139: 331-347.
196 197 198	O'Donnell, M.J., George, M.N., Carrington, E., 2013. Mussel byssus attachment weakened by ocean acidification. Nature Clim. Change 3, 587–590.
199 200 201 202	Suchanek, 1979. The Mytilus Californianus Community: Studies on the Composition, Structure, Organization and Dynamics of a Mussel Bed. Doctoral Thesis – University of Washington. Print.
203 204 205 206	Tomanek, L., Zuzow, M. J., Ivanina, A. V., Beniash, E. & Sokolova, I. M., 2011. Proteomic response to elevated PCO2 level in eastern oysters, Crassostrea virginica: evidence for oxidative stress. <i>The Journal of Experimental Biology</i> 214, 1836–1844.

							pCO2		
	measured			measured			(µatm),		
	рН		s.d.	temp, °C		s.d.	calculated		s.d.
control	7.86	±	0.03	16.5	±	0.1	853	±	58
treatment	7.55	±	0.07	16.5	±	0.1	1834	±	277